



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO		
10/721,634	11/25/2003	Nathaniel David Naegle	5681-59200 7712		
75	90 10/20/2005	EXAMINER			
Jeffrey C. Hoo	d	TUNG, KEE M			
Meyertons, Hoo P.O. Box 398	d, Kivlin, Kowert & Goe	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Austin, TX 78	767	2671			
			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	ю.	Applicant(s)					
		10/721,634		NAEGLE, NATHANIEL DAVID					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Kee M. Tung		2671					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>8/12/05</i> .							
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) $\boxtimes$ This action is non-final.								
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)🖾	Claim(s) <u>1-15,17-24 and 26</u> is/are pend	ding in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-15,17-24 and 26</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election requi	rement.						
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·						
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* S	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Atta a bas a su t	<b>(</b> 2)								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No/s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:									

Art Unit: 2671

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The RCE and amendment filed 8/12/05 have been considered in preparing this Office action.

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-15, 17-24 and 26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-40 of U.S. Patent No. 6,894,698. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they appear to use different terminology for means which perform the same functions, for example, filtering unit or sample-to-pixel calculation unit.

It is noted that P. N. 6.894,698 is issued from S. N. 09/760,512 (previously rejected under provisional D.P.).

Application/Control Number: 10/721,634

Art Unit: 2671

## Response to Arguments

Page 3

3. Applicant's arguments filed 8/12/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. There is no argument regarding to the previous provisional D. P. rejection.

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kee M. Tung whose telephone number is 571-272-7794. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 5:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ulka Chauhan can be reached on 571-272-7782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kee M Tung Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2671